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#### Abstract

Objective: A higher order numerical scheme for two-point boundary interface problem with Dirichlet and Neumann boundary condition on two different sides is propounded. Methods: Orthogonal cubic spline collocation techniques have been used (OSC) for the two-point interface boundary value problem. To approximate the solution a piecewise Hermite cubic basis functions have been used. Findings: Remarkable features of the OSC are accounted for the numerous applications, theoretical clarity, and convenient execution. The stability and efficiency of orthogonal spline collocation methods over B-splines have made the former more preferable than the latter. As against finite element methods, determining the approximate solution and the coefficients of stiffness matrices and mass is relatively fast as the evaluation of integrals is not a requirement. The systematic incorporation of boundary and interface conditions in OSC adds to the list of advantages of preferring this method. Novelty: As against the existing methodologies it becomes clear from our findings that OSC is dominantly computationally superior. A computational treatment has been implemented on the two-point interface boundary value problem with super-convergent results of derivative at the nodal points, being the noteworthy finding of the study.
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## 1 Introduction

The1D- Helmholtz equation under consideration is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}+k^{2} q(x) y=f(x), \quad x \in[a, b] \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{a} y(a)+\beta_{a} y^{\prime}(a)=g_{0}, \quad \alpha_{b} y(b)+\beta_{b} y^{\prime}(b)=g_{1} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{a}, \beta_{a}, \alpha_{b}, \beta_{b}, g_{0}, g_{1}$ are known constants and $k^{2}$ is the wave number. We assume the coefficient $q(x)$ to be piece-wise constant or piece-wise continuous with finite jump across the interface $=x_{i}, x_{i} \in(a, b)$.

For convenience, we assume that $\omega^{2}=k^{2} q(x)$ which is a piece-wise constant or piece-wise continuous across the interface $x=$ $x_{i}$, and assume that solution $y(x)$ satisfies the natural jump conditions across the interface $(y]=0,\left(y^{\prime}\right]=0$.

The jump conditions across the interface are:
$[y]_{x=x_{i}}=\lim _{x \rightarrow x_{i}^{+}} y(x)-\lim _{x \rightarrow x_{i}^{-}} y(x)=y^{+}\left(x_{i}\right)-y^{-}\left(x_{i}\right)$.
Let

$$
\pi: a=x_{0}<x_{1}<x_{2}<\cdots<x_{N}<x_{N+1}=b
$$

denote a partition of $I$, and set $I_{j}=\left(x_{j-1}, x_{j}\right], \quad j=1, \ldots, N+1, h_{j}=x_{j}-x_{j-1}$ and $h=\max _{j} h_{j}$.
The Helmholtz equation is used in many physical applications such as acoustics, elastic waves, and electromagnetic waves. The present study intends to provide an efficient numerical skill for Helmholtz problem.

Existing literature of theoretical and numerical treatment to Helmholtz equation using finite difference methods ${ }^{(1-3)}$, finite element methods ${ }^{(4)}$ and for existence uniqueness results can be found at ${ }^{(5-7)}$. 1D and 2D Helmholtz equation have been treated by Xiufang Feng ${ }^{(8)}$ and Xiufang Feng et al. ${ }^{(9)}$ respectively by using high order compact finite difference methods.

This paper treats 1D-Helmholtz equation with piece-wise constant or piecewise continuous functions by employing OSC to it. The stability and efficiency of orthogonal spline collocation methods over B-splines have made the former more preferable than the latter. As against finite element methods, determining the approximate solution and the coefficients of stiffness matrices and mass is relatively fast as the evaluation of integrals is not a requirement. The systematic incorporation of boundary and interface conditions in OSC adds to the list of advantages of preferring this method.

We show that the OSC handle the interface conditions effectively with less discretization. To accomplish the fourth-order accuracy, we utilize piece-wise Hermite cubic basis functions for approximating the solution. This article can be outlined as: Section 2 uses OSC to approximate the solution. Section 3 deals with numerical experiments. Discontinuous data has been used and the solution has been approximated using piece-wise Hermite cubic basis functions. Grid refinement analysis is performed and the order of convergence for --norm and --norm is found. Section 4 hosts the conclusion.

## 2 Orthogonal spline collocation methods

Here, we employ OSC to approximate the solutions of interface boundary value problem (1.1).
Let
$H^{m}(I)=\left\{v: v \in C^{m-1}(I)\right.$ and $v^{m}$ is a piecewise continuous function on $\left.I\right\}$,
with norm

$$
\|v\|_{H^{m}(I)}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left\|v_{i}\right\|_{L^{2}(I)}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

where,

$$
\|v\|_{L^{2}(I)}=\left(\int|v(x)|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}=\|v\|_{H^{0}(I)}
$$

Also set
$H_{0}^{1}(I)=H^{1} \cap((v \mid v(a)=v(b)=0\}$.
Let
$\pi: a=x_{0}<x_{1}<x_{2}<\cdots<x_{N-1}<x_{N}=b$,
represents a partition of $I$, and set
$I_{j}=\left(x_{j-1}, x_{j}\right], \quad j=1,2, \ldots, N, \quad h_{j}=x_{j}-x_{j-1}$ and $h=\max _{j} h_{j}$.
we assume that $x_{i} \in \pi$. In the OSC, the approximate solution, $y_{h}$, lies in a space $C^{1}$ piece-wise polynomials of degree $\geq 3$. Here we choose the space of piece-wise Hermite cubics, $M_{1}^{3}(\pi)$ as:

$$
M_{1}^{3}(\pi)=\left(\left(v \mid v \in C^{1}(I),\left(\left.v\right|_{I_{j}} \in P_{3}, j=1,2, \ldots, N\right\}\right.\right.
$$

where $C^{1}(I)$ denotes the space of functions which are one times continuously differentiable on $I, P_{3}$ represents the set of polynomials of degree $\leq 3$ and $\left(\left.v\right|_{I_{j}}\right.$ denotes the restriction of the function $v$ to the interval $I_{j}$.

We denote by $M_{1}^{3,0}(\pi)$ the space
$M_{1}^{3}(\pi) \cap((v \mid v(a)=v(b)=0\}$.
It is to see that $M_{1}^{3}(\pi)$ and $M_{1}^{3,0}(\pi)$ are linear spaces of dimensions $2 N+2$ and $2 N$, respectively.
We consider the collocation points $\left(\xi_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{2 N}$, where

$$
\xi_{2 i-1}=x_{i-1}+\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right) h_{i}, \quad \text { and } \quad \xi_{2 i}=x_{i-1}+\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right) h_{i}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, N .
$$

These are the composite two-point Gauss quadrature points.
We now introduce the standard basis for the space $M_{1}^{3}(\pi)$. We define the functions $v_{j}(x), s_{j}(x) \in M_{1}^{3}(\pi), i, j=0,1, \ldots, N$, by
$v_{j}\left(x_{i}\right)=\delta_{i j}, v_{j}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)=0$ and $s_{j}\left(x_{i}\right)=0, s_{j}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)=\delta_{i j}, i, j=0, \ldots, N$,
where $\delta_{i j}=1$, if $i=j$ and $\delta_{i j}=0$, if $i \neq j$. Then the set $\left(v_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{N} \cup\left(s_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{N}$ forms a basis for $M_{1}^{3}(\pi)$, which we order in the form $\left(v_{0}, s_{0}, v_{1}, s_{1}, \ldots, v_{N}, s_{N}\right\}$.

The function $v_{i}$ and $s_{i}$ are called value function and slope function, respectively, associated with the node $x_{i} \in \pi$. With the basis $\left(v_{0}, s_{0}, v_{1}, s_{1}, \ldots, v_{N}, s_{N}\right\}$ for $M_{1}^{3}(\pi)$, we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{h}(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{N}\left\{\alpha_{j} v_{j}(x)+\beta_{j} s_{j}(x)\right\} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,
$\alpha_{j}=y_{h}\left(x_{j}\right), \quad \beta_{j}=y_{h}^{\prime}\left(x_{j}\right), \quad j=0,1 \ldots \ldots \ldots, N$.
The coefficients are then evaluated with the restriction that $y_{h}$ satisfies (1.1) at the collocation points $\left(\xi_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{2 N}$, and the boundary conditions (1.2) so that

The orthogonal spline collocation approximation for problem (1.1) - (1.2) is stated as:
Approximate $y_{h} \in M_{1}^{3}(I)$ so that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\alpha_{a} y(a)+\beta_{a} y^{\prime}(a)=g_{a}, \\
L y_{h}\left(\xi_{i}\right)=f\left(\xi_{i}\right), \quad i=1,2, \ldots, 2 N  \tag{2.2}\\
\alpha_{b} y(b)+\beta_{b} y^{\prime}(b)=g_{b} .
\end{gather*}
$$

As only four basis functions $v_{i-1}, s_{i-1}, v_{i}, s_{i}$ are non-zero on $\left[x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right]$, the coefficient matrix of collocation equations structures out as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
D_{0} & & & &  \tag{2.3}\\
S_{1} & T_{1} & & & \\
& S_{2} & T_{2} & & \\
& & \ddots & \ddots & \\
& & & S_{N} & T_{N} \\
& & & & D_{1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $D_{0}=\left[\alpha_{a}, \beta_{a}\right], D_{1}=\left[\alpha_{b}, \beta_{b}\right]$, and, for $j=1,2, \ldots \ldots \ldots, N$,

$$
S_{i}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
L v_{i-1}\left(\xi_{2 i-1}\right) & L s_{i-1}\left(\xi_{2 i-1}\right) \\
L v_{i-1}\left(\xi_{2 i}\right) & L s_{i-1}\left(\xi_{2 i}\right)
\end{array}\right], \quad T_{i}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
L v_{i}\left(\xi_{2 i-1}\right) & L s_{i}\left(\xi_{2 i-1}\right) \\
L v_{i}\left(\xi_{2 i}\right) & L s_{i}\left(\xi_{2 i}\right)
\end{array}\right] .
$$

## 3 Numerical experiments

In order to arrive at an approximate solution, piece-wise Hermite cubic basis functions will be considered for the experimentation and as for the determination of the order of convergence of the numerical method we will emphasize on grid refinement analysis.

The approximate solution $y_{h}(x) \in M_{1}^{3}$ on each subinterval $\left(x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right], \quad i=1,2, \ldots, N$ is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{h}(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{N}\left\{\alpha_{j} v_{j}(x)+\beta_{j} s_{j}(x)\right\} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,
$\alpha_{j}=y_{h}\left(x_{j}\right), \beta_{j}=y_{h}^{\prime}\left(x_{j}\right), \quad j=0, \ldots \ldots ., N$.
Since
$v_{j}\left(x_{i}\right)=\delta_{i j}, v_{j}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)=0$ and $s_{j}\left(x_{i}\right)=0, s_{j}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)=\delta_{i j}, i, j=0, \ldots, N$,
where $\delta_{i j}$ is the kronecker delta function with $\delta_{i j}=1$, if $i=j$ and $\delta_{i j}=0$, if $i \neq j$. The expressions for value functions and slope functions, we refer to ${ }^{(3)}$.

Taking derivatives of (3.1) wrt x , we have,

$$
y_{h}^{\prime}(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{N}\left\{\alpha_{j} v_{j}^{\prime}(x)+\beta_{j} s_{j}^{\prime}(x)\right\}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{h}^{\prime \prime}(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{N}\left\{\alpha_{j} v_{j}^{\prime \prime}(x)+\beta_{j} s^{\prime \prime}{ }_{j}(x)\right\} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(\xi_{i}\right\}_{1}^{2 N}$ are the collocation points on $\left(x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right], \quad i=1,2, \ldots, N$ are two-point Gauss-Legendre quadrature points defined by

$$
\xi_{2 i-1}=x_{i-1}+\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right) h_{i}, \quad \text { and } \quad \xi_{2 i}=x_{i-1}+\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right) h_{i}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, N
$$

Now substituting equations (3.1) - (3.2) in (1.1) and the resulting equation, we calculate on $\left(x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right]$ at $x=\xi_{1}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{0} v^{\prime \prime}{ }_{0}\left(\xi_{1}\right)+\beta_{0} s^{\prime \prime}{ }_{0}\left(\xi_{1}\right)+\alpha_{1} v^{\prime \prime}{ }_{1}\left(\xi_{1}\right)+\beta_{1} s^{\prime \prime}{ }_{1}\left(\xi_{1}\right)+\omega^{2} \alpha_{0} v_{0}\left(\xi_{1}\right)+\omega^{2} \beta_{0} s_{0}\left(\xi_{1}\right)+\omega^{2} \alpha_{1} v_{1}\left(\xi_{1}\right)+\omega^{2} \beta_{1} s_{1}\left(\xi_{1}\right)=f\left(\xi_{1}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, at $x=\xi_{2}$ we have the following expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{0} v_{0}^{\prime \prime}\left(\xi_{2}\right)+\beta_{0} s^{\prime \prime}{ }_{0}\left(\xi_{2}\right)+\alpha_{1} v_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(\xi_{2}\right)+\beta_{1} s^{\prime \prime}{ }_{1}\left(\xi_{2}\right)+\omega^{2} \alpha_{0} v_{0}\left(\xi_{2}\right)+\omega^{2} \beta_{0} s_{0}\left(\xi_{2}\right)+\omega^{2} \alpha_{1} v_{1}\left(\xi_{2}\right)+\omega^{2} \beta_{1} s_{1}\left(\xi_{2}\right)=f\left(\xi_{2}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $x=x_{i}$ be the interface point on $\mathrm{I}=(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})$. By observing equations (3.3)-(3.4) the collocation equations on the sub-intervals $\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right],\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right], \cdots,\left[x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right]$, can be expressed in the matrix form as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{i} y_{i}+B_{i} y_{i+1}=f_{i} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{i}$ and $B_{i}$ structure out as:

$$
\begin{gathered}
A_{i}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
v^{\prime \prime}{ }_{i-1}\left(\xi_{2 i-1}\right)+\omega_{-}^{2} v_{i-1}\left(\xi_{2 i-1}\right) & s^{\prime \prime}{ }_{i-1}\left(\xi_{2 i-1}\right)+\omega_{-}^{2} s_{i-1}\left(\xi_{2 i-1}\right) \\
v^{\prime \prime}{ }_{i-1}\left(\xi_{2 i}\right)+\omega_{-}^{2} v_{i-1}\left(\xi_{2 i}\right) & s^{\prime \prime}{ }_{i-1}\left(\xi_{2 i-1}\right)+\omega_{-}^{2} s_{i-1}\left(\xi_{2 i-1}\right)
\end{array}\right], \\
B_{i}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
v^{\prime \prime}{ }_{i}\left(\xi_{2 i-1}\right)+\omega_{-}^{2} v_{i}\left(\xi_{2 i-1}\right) & s^{\prime \prime}{ }_{i}\left(\xi_{2 i-1}\right)+\omega_{-}^{2} s_{i}\left(\xi_{2 i-1}\right) \\
v^{\prime \prime}{ }_{i}\left(\xi_{2 i}\right)+\omega_{-}^{2} v_{i}\left(\xi_{2 i}\right) & s^{\prime \prime}{ }_{i}\left(\xi_{2 i-1}\right)+\omega_{-}^{2} s_{i}\left(\xi_{2 i-1}\right)
\end{array}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

$f_{i}$ structures as $\left[\begin{array}{c}f_{i}^{-}\left(\xi_{2 i-1}\right) \\ f_{i}^{-}\left(\xi_{2 i}\right)\end{array}\right]$ and $y_{i}=\left[\alpha_{i-1}, \beta_{i-1}\right]^{T}, y_{i+1}=\left[\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}\right]^{T}, i=1,2, \ldots, N$.

In the similar manner, the collocation equations on the sub-intervals $\left[x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right],\left[x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}\right], \cdots\left[x_{N-1}, x_{N}\right]$, can be expressed in the form of a matrix as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{i} y_{i}+B_{i} y_{i+1}=f_{i} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{i}$ and $B_{i}$ structure out as:

$$
\begin{gathered}
A_{i}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
v^{\prime \prime}{ }_{i-1}\left(\xi_{2 i-1}\right)+\omega_{+}^{2} v_{i-1}\left(\xi_{2 i-1}\right) & s^{\prime \prime}{ }_{i-1}\left(\xi_{2 i-1}\right)+\omega_{+}^{2} s_{i-1}\left(\xi_{2 i-1}\right) \\
v^{\prime \prime}{ }_{i-1}\left(\xi_{2 i}\right)+\omega_{+}^{2} v_{i-1}\left(\xi_{2 i}\right) & s^{\prime \prime}{ }_{i-1}\left(\xi_{2 i-1}\right)+\omega_{+}^{2} s_{i-1}\left(\xi_{2 i-1}\right)
\end{array}\right], \\
B_{i}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
v^{\prime \prime}{ }_{i}\left(\xi_{2 i-1}\right)+\omega_{+}^{2} v_{i}\left(\xi_{2 i-1}\right) & s^{\prime \prime}{ }_{i}\left(\xi_{2 i-1}\right)+\omega_{+}^{2} s_{i}\left(\xi_{2 i-1}\right) \\
v_{i}^{\prime \prime}\left(\xi_{2 i}\right)+\omega_{+}^{2} v_{i}\left(\xi_{2 i}\right) & s^{\prime \prime}{ }_{i}\left(\xi_{2 i-1}\right)+\omega_{+}^{2} s_{i}\left(\xi_{2 i-1}\right)
\end{array}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

$f_{i}$ structures as $\left[\begin{array}{c}f_{i}^{+}\left(\xi_{2 i-1}\right) \\ f_{i}^{+}\left(\xi_{2 i}\right)\end{array}\right]$.
Combining (3.5) - (3.6), we obtain an ABD linear system of order $2 \mathrm{~N}+2$ for

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccccccc}
L_{b} & & & & & & \\
A_{1} & B_{1} & & & & & \\
& A_{2} & B_{2} & & & & \\
& & \ddots & & & & \\
& & A_{i} & B_{i} & & & \\
& & & A_{i+1} & B_{i+1} & & \\
& & & & \ddots & & \\
& & & & & A_{N} & B_{N} \\
& & & & & & R_{b}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
y_{1} \\
y_{2} \\
\vdots \\
y_{i-1} \\
\vdots \\
y_{N} \\
y_{N+1}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
g_{0} \\
f_{1} \\
\vdots \\
f_{i} \\
\vdots \\
f_{N} \\
g_{1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $L_{b}$ and $R_{b}$ are contributed by lateral boundaries, left and right. The matrix system has been solved using the almost block diagonal (ABD) solver of MATLAB. While existing methods require more number operations ( $\approx n^{3}$ ) to achieve fourth-order accuracy, the OSC requires only ' $n$ ' operations.

## 4 Numerical Example

Example 1: The problem under consideration is as follows:

$$
y^{\prime \prime}(x)+\omega^{2} y(x)=f(x), \quad x \in(-\pi, \pi)
$$

with boundary conditions i.e., Dirichlet in one side and Neumann on the other side $y(-\pi)=0, y^{\prime}(\pi)=0$
where

$$
f(x)= \begin{cases}4(x-\pi) \cos x+\left(\omega_{-}^{2}-1\right)(x-\pi)^{2} \sin x+2 \sin x, & x \in[-\pi, 0] \\ 4(x-\pi) \cos x+\left(\omega_{+}^{2}-1\right)(x-\pi)^{2} \sin x+2 \sin x, & x \in[0, \pi]\end{cases}
$$

The exact solution is given by $y(x)=(x-\pi)^{2} \sin x$.
The order of convergence computes out to be:

$$
\text { Order } \approx \frac{\log \left(\frac{\left\|y-y_{h_{i}}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}}{\left\|y-y_{h_{i+1}}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}}\right)}{\log \left(\frac{h_{i}}{h_{i+1}}\right)}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, 5
$$

where $y$ : exact solution, $y_{h_{i}}$ : numerical solution with step size $h_{i}$.
The following table describes the errors in max-norm and order of convergence at nodal points.

Table 1. $L^{\infty}$ error for Example 1

| $\omega^{2}=1, \omega^{2}=25$ |  |  |  | $\omega^{2}=5, \omega^{2}=100$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| N | - | order | - |  |  |  |
| 8 | $2.2685 \mathrm{e}-01$ |  | $5.5156 \mathrm{e}-02$ |  |  |  |
| 16 | $1.3846 \mathrm{e}-03$ | $7.3561 \mathrm{e}+00$ | $3.3897 \mathrm{e}-03$ | $4.0243 \mathrm{e}+00$ |  |  |
| 32 | $1.0897 \mathrm{e}-04$ | $3.6674 \mathrm{e}+00$ | $1.6551 \mathrm{e}-04$ | $4.3562 \mathrm{e}+00$ |  |  |
| 64 | $6.8095 \mathrm{e}-06$ | $4.0003 \mathrm{e}+00$ | $1.1538 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $3.8424 \mathrm{e}+00$ |  |  |
| 128 | $4.2561 \mathrm{e}-07$ | $3.9999 \mathrm{e}+00$ | $7.2997 \mathrm{e}-07$ | $3.9824 \mathrm{e}+00$ |  |  |
| 256 | $2.6628 \mathrm{e}-08$ | $3.9985 \mathrm{e}+00$ | $4.5619 \mathrm{e}-08$ | $4.0001 \mathrm{e}+00$ |  |  |

Table 2. $L^{\infty}$ error of derivative for Example 1

| $\omega^{2}=1, \omega^{2}=25$ |  |  |  | $\omega^{2}=5, \omega^{2}=100$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| N | - | order | - | order |
| 8 | $3.3212 \mathrm{e}-01$ |  | $8.6859 \mathrm{e}-02$ |  |
| 16 | $6.7995 \mathrm{e}-03$ | $5.6102 \mathrm{e}+00$ | $7.2906 \mathrm{e}-03$ | $3.5746 \mathrm{e}+00$ |
| 32 | $4.2674 \mathrm{e}-04$ | $3.9940 \mathrm{e}+00$ | $1.1388 \mathrm{e}-03$ | $2.6786 \mathrm{e}+00$ |
| 64 | $2.7118 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $3.9761 \mathrm{e}+00$ | $8.8430 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $3.6868 \mathrm{e}+00$ |
| 128 | $1.7192 \mathrm{e}-06$ | $3.9794 \mathrm{e}+00$ | $5.6226 \mathrm{e}-06$ | $3.9752 \mathrm{e}+00$ |
| 256 | $1.0749 \mathrm{e}-07$ | $3.9994 \mathrm{e}+00$ | $3.5136 \mathrm{e}-07$ | $4.0002 \mathrm{e}+00$ |

N.B: Since it is a numerical scheme, so the convergence depends upon the large value N. Initially it may get some deviation but at the higher value of N , it will converge to $4^{\text {th }}$ order, which has been inferred from the above mentioned table.

Example 2: We consider the following problem

$$
y^{\prime \prime}(x)+\left(p^{\prime}(x) / p(x)\right) y^{\prime}(x)+(1 / p(x)) y(x)=f(x) / p(x), \quad x \in(-1,1)
$$

With boundary conditions i.e., Dirichlet on one side and Neumann on the other side
$y(-1)=-\sin (1), y^{\prime}(1)=\cos (1)$,
where,

$$
p(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
x, \quad x \in[-1,0] \\
(1+x), \quad x \in[0,1]
\end{array}\right.
$$

The exact solution is given by $y(x)=x \sin x$.
The expression for $f(x)$ can be computed using $y(x)=x \sin x$.
Table 3. $L^{\infty}$ error of value and derivative for Example 2

|  | $p_{1}=x$ | $p_{2}=(1+x)$ | $p_{1}=x$ | $p_{2}=(1+x)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | - | order | - | order |
| 8 | $1.4615 \mathrm{e}-05$ |  | 1.1332e-05 |  |
| 16 | $8.7474 \mathrm{e}-07$ | $4.0624 \mathrm{e}+00$ | $6.7401 \mathrm{e}-07$ | $4.0714 \mathrm{e}+00$ |
| 32 | $5.3506 \mathrm{e}-08$ | $4.0311 \mathrm{e}+00$ | $4.1092 \mathrm{e}-08$ | $4.0358 \mathrm{e}+00$ |
| 64 | $3.3080 \mathrm{e}-09$ | $4.0157 \mathrm{e}+00$ | $2.5361 \mathrm{e}-09$ | $4.0182 \mathrm{e}+00$ |
| 128 | $2.0561 \mathrm{e}-10$ | $4.0079 \mathrm{e}+00$ | $1.5749 \mathrm{e}-10$ | $4.0092 \mathrm{e}+00$ |
| 256 | 1.2815e-11 | $4.0040 \mathrm{e}+00$ | $9.8099 \mathrm{e}-12$ | $4.0049 \mathrm{e}+00$ |

## 5 Conclusion

An OSC to 1D- Helmholtz equation with discontinuous coefficients has been established in the study. Discontinuous data has been experimented on, using numerical methodologies. Fourth-order convergence at the grid points for $\left\|y-y_{h}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}$-norm
and $\left\|y^{\prime}-y_{h}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}$-norm has been found. As against the methods that exist, OSC handles the discontinuous coefficients potently and gives optimal order of convergence for $\left\|y-y_{h}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}$-norm and super-convergent result for $\left\|y-y_{h}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}$-norm. Despite having theorized and having computed the OSC for a single point in the interface we can extend our theory to a finite set of points.
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