

Ecotoxicity of leaf extracts of *Azadirachta indica* on chironomids larvae

J.A. Adakole* and S. Ogwu

Department of Biological Sciences, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria
drjaadakole@yahoo.com

Abstract

The present study was designed to evaluate acute ecotoxicity of aqueous and ethanolic leaf extracts of *Azadirachta indica* on *Chironomus* spp. Exposure of chironomid larvae to the crude aqueous extract (0.0 [control], 25.00, 50.00, 75.00, 150.00 & 200.00 mg/L) and ethanolic (0.0 [control], 6.00, 12.00, 25.00, 50.00 & 100.00 mg/L) concentrations resulted in LC₅₀ of 68.28mg/L and of 6.65mg/L respectively. Other toxic response by the larvae includes avoidance of sediment, impregnation of some segments with dark substances and bleaching/loss of respiratory pigments. Comparatively, mortality rate and other toxic responses was more in the ethanolic extract than in the aqueous extract test tanks. Impregnation of some larvae segments with dark substances suggests that feeding activity was going on during the toxicity test period and mortality of the larvae was partly due to contact with the polluted sediment and ingestion of contaminated particles of the sediment.

Keywords. Ecotoxicity, *Azadirachta indica*, Neem, chironomus larvae, Ecotoxicity

Introduction

Azadirachta indica (neem plant), family Meliaceae is known to contain a variety of compounds that show insecticidal, antifeedant growth-regulating, and development-modifying properties (Saleh & El-Wakeil, 2007; Abdelouaheb *et al.*, 2009). Neem plant is commonly grown for ornamental purpose and as a wind breaker. In Nigeria, during the dry season/harmattan period, large quantities of neem plant leaves and seeds could easily be found inside surrounding water bodies. According to Prance (2003) and Tarek *et al.* (2011), submerged leaves of plants release their active ingredients into the water body thereby polluting it. Slow moving rivers and streams that bioaccumulate leaves, barks, seeds and fruits of plants pose enormous risk to livestock and aquaculture practices (Makkar *et al.*, 2007) and especially to aquatic macro-invertebrates (Adakole & Balogun, 2011). Martinez & Machado-Neo (2007), observed that aqueous extract of neem is extensively used in fish farm as alternative for the control of fish parasites and fish fry predators of *Prochilodus lineatus*. Winkaler *et al.* (2007), found that fish species exposed to various concentration of neem leaf extract, exhibited tissue damages in gill and kidney. However, in Nigeria, literature on toxicity of phytochemicals to aquatic invertebrates in the field is scanty.

Polar and non-polar extractions of neem yield 24 compounds other than azadirachtin that are of biological importance (Jacobson, 1999; Egho, 2012). These include anthraquinones, saponins, tannins, alkaloid, limonoids and meliantriol among others. Some active ingredients in Neem plant includes azadirachtin and Limonoids which are toxic to over 500 species of insects including *Myzus persicae* (aphids) (Khalid *et al.*, 2002, Martinez & Machado-Neo, 2007; Egho, 2012); *Culex pipiens* (Abdelouaheb *et al.*, 2009). Quadri and Narsaiah (2005) reported LD₅₀ value of azadirachtin against *Periplaneta americana* as 1.5mg/g after 24 hours. It has also been

reported that the leaves of neem is potent against *C. fatigans* (wild strain). Quadri and Narsaiah (2005), also determined the LC₅₀ of Neem leaves extract against *C. fatigans* to be 39.0mg/L. Though these LC₅₀ differences could probably be attributed to differences in insect species as well as difference in mode of treatment, it could be concluded that azadirachtin is equally effective to both types of insects. Narqvi *et al.* (2006) investigated the effect of different neem fractions against white flies, *Aleorolobus barodensis* after 48 and 96 hours treatment and found that neem fractions were effective and toxic even after 96 hours in comparison to a synthetic insecticides called malathion. Neem seed extract at 5 percent concentration is an effective biopesticide in the management of insect pests of cowpea especially *A. craccivora* and *M. sjostedti* (Egho, 2012).

The midge fly larva (family Chironomidae) dominates and accounts for most of the macro invertebrates in fresh water environment (Hoffman *et al.*, 2007; Adakole & Balogun, 2011). The larvae have been reported to occupy a very important trophic level, being a constituent of important food item (Berg, 1995), to fauna occupying other trophic levels such as fish and birds which act as predators of macro-invertebrates. Clearly, Chironomid larvae have potential as a test species for sediments bioassay, because the greatest part of their life cycle, the larvae stage is spent in sediment (Carew *et al.*, 2007). Not only do Chironomids respond to contaminated sediment, but they also fulfill many of the criteria for toxicity testing (ASTM, 1991). Thus the justification for using chironomid larvae as a test organism against the bioactivity of neem plant leaf's extract in this investigation. Furthermore, in Nigeria, many contaminated aquatic bodies such as creeks, creeklets, and estuaries among others are difficult terrains for benthic studies, thus many ecologists has been restrained from the survey and studies of such water bodies (Olomukoro & Azubuike, 2009; Adakole &



Balogun, 2011). Thus, the current ecotoxicity investigation was conducted in a modified bioassay tank in the laboratory.

Materials and methods

All the *Chironomis riparius* used in this investigation were collected from the sediment along shallow pools of a slow moving stream (0.01m/sec.) in Zaria, by sieving sediment through a 250 μ m mesh size net. The sediments were collected to a depth of 1- 2 cm from the stream stretch known to be relatively clean and free of *Azadirachta indica* tree population. Large pieces of debris and other macrofauna were discarded. The animals were acclimatized for 12 hours at room temperature by keeping them in de-chlorinated tap water in a tank, which was continually aerated. Mean body length and head capsule width of the larval instars of *Chironomus* spp. were 7.5 ± 1.2 mm and 410.00 ± 60.00 μ m, respectively. The mean Chironomid wet weight was 3.70 ± 0.65 mg. The color of the animals was red.

The sediment was washed through a 250 μ m mesh-size sieve into a tank in order to remove any macro-fauna and large sediment particles, and then washed again through a 250- μ m mesh to ensure a standard particle size for the sediment in all the experiments. The sediments were stirred and rinsed three times with tap water, and then allowed to stand for 24 hours. At the end of 24-hour duration, the overlying water was poured off and the sediment placed in test containers. The leaves of *A. indica* were collected from the university town of Samaru, Zaria-Nigeria. The leaves were dried at room temperature and then powdered with a mortar and sieved to remove the fibres. 12.10g and 27.30g of the extract were obtained from 200.00g powdered *A. indica* leaf by means of aqueous and ethanol extraction methods respectively.

20.0g of the sieved sediments were then treated, by shaking with solutions of *A. indica* leaf extract. Sediments with different concentrations of *A. indica* leaf extract were obtained through serial dilutions of the two stock (aqueous and ethanolic extracts) solutions. The mixing time was limited to 3 - 4 hours. The sediments used as the controls were treated as described above, but with dechlorinated tap water. Treated and control sediment supernatants were decanted and the test and control sediments were placed in transparent plastic-glass bioassay tanks measuring: 10.00cm x 5.00cm x 5.00cm. Clean tap water was added to the containers up to 1.00cm from the top to allow the sediment and water to equilibrate to test conditions and to allow suspended sediment to settle before the addition of the test animals (Adakole & Balogun, 2011). Each set-up consisted of three replicate containers for each of the 5 concentrations, plus 2 controls. After pilot assays, the concentrations of each of the containers with aqueous extracted toxicant were 0.0(control), 25.00, 50.00, 75.00,

150.00 and 200.00 mg/l while those with ethanolic extract contained 0.00(control), 6.00, 12.00, 25.00, 50.00 and 200.00 mg/l respectively.

The larval fourth-instars were separated from the stock tank on the basis of body length and head capsule width measured using a light microscope. Ten fourth-instars larvae were placed in each container. The containers were examined after 1, 12 hours and thereafter daily. Dead larval instars were removed and counted but not replaced. Following the standard ASTM (1991) and APHA (1998), two response criteria (survival and avoidance of sediment) were examined for the two *A. indica* leaf extract bioassays. The criteria for death were immobility and/or lack of reaction to a mechanical stimulus. All the bioassays were static and the test organisms were not fed during the test period. During the period of the bioassays the number of *Chironomus* that had avoided the sediment, either floating on the water surface or lying on top of the sediment, was also recorded daily. LC₅₀ values were determined by log-probit regression using SPSS version 17.0® for Windows/Microsoft Excel programme.

The pH, dissolved oxygen, temperature and electrical conductivity of the test solution were determined before and at the end of the bioassay.

Results

The test water had a mean temperature of $25.0 \pm 1.81^\circ\text{C}$, conductivity $160.22 \pm 22.11\mu\text{S}$, pH 6.58 ± 1.10 and dissolved oxygen of $6.50 \pm 0.85\text{mg/l}$. Exposure of *Chironomus* sp to ethanolic extracts of the leaves of *A. indica* for 96 hours caused various behavioural and pathological changes. Chironomid larvae exposed to 25.00, 50.00 and 100.00mg/L concentrations of *A. indica* caused segments 5-11 of the larvae to be heavily impregnated with dark substances. Mouth parts of the larvae in 50.00mg/L and 100.00mg/L concentration tanks became dark green in color.

In all the concentrations tanks, except the control and in the 6.00mg/l, there was also body decoration of the Chironomid larvae from red to pale greenish-yellow colorations; the tufted papillae, pair of tubules and the pair of fleshy tubercles (pro cerci) on the tail region turn pale. There was an attendant loss of the shining cuticular body covering, and the chironomid became flaccid at 12.00mg/L to 100.00mg/L concentrations tanks.

The mortality record of chironomid larvae exposed to various concentrations of ethanolic extracts of the leaves of *A. indica* is as shown on Table 1. There was no mortality in the control. Probit analysis revealed that the LC₅₀ value for ethanolic extracts is 6.656mg/L at 95% confidence limit of the extracts with 2.891mg/L and 10.180mg/L as lower and upper limits respectively.

The body color of chironomid larvae exposed to 150mg/L and 200mg/l aqueous extracts

Table 1. Probit table for 96-h exposure of chironomids larvae to ethanolic extract of *Azadirachta indica* leaf

Conc. Tanks(mg/L)	Log of Conc.	96-h mortality		Total observed mortality	Total expected mortality	Residual	probability
		replicate A	replicate B				
Control (0)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	0.778	4	3	7	8.343	-1.343	0.417
12	1.079	7	7	14	12.725	1.275	0.636
25	1.398	9	8	17	16.522	0.478	0.826
50	1.699	10	9	19	18.655	0.345	0.933
100	2.000	10	9	19	19.6	-0.06	0.98

concentrations of *A. indica* turned from red to faint pale yellow. Also at these two concentrations, it was observed that segments 5-8 were filled with dark particles. Furthermore, there was disintegration and eroding of the larva's retractile antennae and other sensory receptive organs on the head such as the setae, and lamellae. The percentage mortality of chironomid larvae exposed to aqueous extracts of *A. indica* is as shown in Table 2. From the probit analysis, the calculated LC50 value for the aqueous extracts is 68.28mg/L with 55.76mg/L and 81.78mg/L as lower and upper limit respectively.

Discussion

Table 2. Probit table for 96-h exposure of chironomids larvae to aqueous extract of *Azadirachta indica* leaf

Conc. Tanks(mg/L)	Log of Conc.	96-h mortality		Total observed mortality	Total expected mortality	Residual	probability
		replicate A	replicate B				
Control (0)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
25	1.398	1	0	1	0.503	0.497	0.025
50	1.699	2	1	3	4.877	-1.877	0.244
75	1.875	6	6	12	10.631	1.639	0.518
150	2.176	9	8	17	18.095	-1.095	0.905
200	2.301	10	10	20	19.333	0.667	0.967

The physiochemical parameters of the dilution water varied but were within the range suggested by Bat & Akubut (2001) for sustenance of aquatic life. There was no mortality, in any of the two-control set up, demonstrating that the holding facilities, water, control sediment and handling techniques were conducive for conducting 96-hour sediment testing. Thus the responses observed in the test tanks were due to the neem plant leaf's extract. Neem products have been shown to exhibit a wide range of effects such as antifeedancy (Lucantoni *et al.*, 2006), ovicidal activity, fecundity suppression (Su & Mulla, 1998; Abdelouaheb *et al.*, 2009), insect growth regulation (Mordue & Nisbet, 2000) and repellency (Okumu *et al.*, 2007). These effects are frequently attributed to the azadirachtin contents of the products (Isman, 2006). Recent studies have also demonstrated neem-induced effects on vitellogenesis and severe degeneration of follicle cells during oogenesis in mosquitoes (Lucantoni *et al.*, 2006).

The result obtained from this investigation indicates that both aqueous and ethanolic extracts of leaves of *A. indica* at various concentrations have significant influence on chironomids larvae. According to Verkerk & Wright (2003), the potency of *A. indica* to induce mortality at

various concentrations could be attributed to neurotoxins contained in leaf extracts of *A. indica*. This neurotoxin probably kills larvae as well as the adults' midges by disturbing the normal nervous system function and the general metabolism of the organism.

The LC50 of 6.65mg/L and 68.28mg/L for ethanolic and aqueous extracts respectively indicates that ethanolic extract has higher potency than the aqueous extracts. Howatt (2003) reported that ethanolic extraction of leaves of *A. indica* enhances the extraction of saponin; a compound that is normally broken down in the digestive system and enters the blood streams as a toxin. In the

blood stream, it causes the breakdown of the red blood cells, which facilitates the toxin to spread very fast (Prance, 2003). Saponin has also been reported to have caused bleaching of the reddish coloration in fish's gills (Kritzon, 2003). Thus the observed discoloration of the midge fly larvae from red to pale yellow may be due to

the presence of saponin. In addition, this loss of respiratory pigment/decolouration of the chironomids' haemoglobin might have enhanced their mortality.

The avoidance of the sediment is a reflection by midge fly's inability to tolerate the toxicant. According to Hoffman *et al.*, (2007), burrowing by chironomids is essentially an adaptation phenomenon, which enable them escape from predators. Chironomids larvae mouthparts were tainted dark green and there was a loss of some sensory organs in concentration tanks of 100mg/L for ethanolic extract and 150mg/L & 200mg/L for aqueous extract. Chironomid larvae are unselective sediment feeders. They probably fed on the sediments mixed with toxicants. In addition, segments 5-10 out of the 14-number segments were filled with dark materials at the end of the 96-hour toxicity testing. This suggests that feeding activity was going on during the toxicity period and mortality of the larvae was partly due to contact with the polluted sediment and ingestion of contaminated particles of the sediment.

Neem oil had an LC50 value of 11 mg/L after 8 days, against *Anopheles gambiae* (Okumo *et al.*, 2007). This value compares favorably with the LC50 value (6.65mg/L) for ethanolic extracts but several times more potent than

the aqueous extract LC₅₀ value of 68.28mg/L obtained in the present investigation. Qadri & Narsaiah (2005) reported a 24-hour LC₅₀ value of azadirachtin against *Preriplaneta americana* as 1.5mg/L. The present results indicate that organic solvent extracts have lower LC₅₀ values than the aqueous extracts indicating its greater larvicidal activities. This result is consistent with earlier works by Wandscheer *et al.* (2004) and Tonk *et al.* (2006) who separately reported that neem seed kernel extracts are more effective against mosquitoes when prepared with hexane, ethyl ether, acetone, ethanol or methanol than aqueous preparations.

Conclusion

Both aqueous and ethanolic extracts of *A. indica* were toxic to chironomids larvae. Higher potency of ethanolic extracts was probably due to stronger extraction capacity of ethanol and the low dissolved oxygen content of the extract. Our aquatic environment had frequently been submerged with various types of leaves due to natural and man-made causes. This study elucidates the possible toxic effects of submerged leaves of *Azadirachta indica* on *Chironomus* spp. The ornamental growing of *A. indica* close to water bodies should be curtailed to avoid the destruction of important aquatic macroinvertebrates which commonly serve as food and as indicator species of aquatic stress.

References

1. Abdouaheb A, Nassima, R and Nouredine S (2009) Larvicidal activity of a neem tree extract (Azadirachtin) against mosquito larvae in the Republic of Algeria. *Jordan J. Bio. Sci.* 2(1),15-22.
2. Adakole JA and Balogun JB (2011) Acute ecotoxicity of aqueous and ethanolic extract of leaves of *Khaya senegalensis* on chironomid larvae. *Brazilian J. Aquatic Sci. & Technol.* 15 (2),43-47.
3. ASTM (1991) American Society for Testing and Materials. Standard guide for conducting solid-phase sediment toxicity tests with freshwater invertebrates.
4. APHA (1998) Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater. Greenberg AE, Clesceri LS & Eaton AD (eds), 20th edn. American Public Health Association (APHA) Inc, Washington DC.
5. Bat L and Akubulut M (2001) Studies on sediment toxicity bioassays using *Chironomus thummi* K., 1911 larvae. *Turkish J. Zoo.* 25, 87-93.
6. Berg HB (1995) Larval food and feeding behavior. Armitage PM. pp: 136-168.
7. Carew ME, Pettigrove V, Cox RL and Hoffmann AA (2007) The response of chironomidae to sediment pollution and other environmental characteristics in urban wetlands. *Freshwater Bio.* 5(1),112-145.
8. Egho EO (2012) Seeds of Neem Tree (*Azadirachta indica* A. Juss). Promising biopesticide in the management of cowpea insect pests and grain yield in the early cropping season at Asaba and Abraka, Delta State. *Nigeria. J. Agri.Sci.* 4(1), 181-189.
9. Hoffmann AA, Carew ME and Pettigrove VP (2007) Identifying chironomids (Diptera: Chironomidae) for biological monitoring using PCR-RFLP. *Bull. Entomol. Res.* 93, 483-490.
10. Howatt K (2003) *Azadirachta indica*: one tree arsenal against pests. *Pesticides Sci.* 37, 34-56.
11. Isman MB (2006) Botanical insecticides, deterrents and repellents in modern agriculture and an increasingly regulated world. *Ann. Rev. Entomol.* 51, 45-66.
12. Jacobson M (1999) Review of neem research in the United States. In: Proc. Workshop. neem's potential in pest. Locke JC & Lawson RH (eds.).
13. Khalid AS, Naqvi SNH, Ahmad I, Tabassum R and Mohammed F A (2002) Toxicity of crude neem extracts against the late second instar larvae of *Musca domestica* (PCSIR Strain). *Pak. J. Pharm. Sci.* 4(1),77-81.
14. Kritzon C (2003) Fishing with poison. *Environ. Toxicol & Chem.* 12(5), 1180-2004.
15. Lucantoni L, Giusti F, Cristofaro M, Pasqualini L, Esposito F, Lupetti P and Habluetzel A (2006) Effects of neem extract on blood feeding, oviposition and oocyte ultrastructure in *Anopheles stephensi* Liston (Diptera: Culicidae). *Tissue Cell.* 38,361-371.
16. Makka HPS, Francis G and Becker K (2007) Bioactivity of phytochemicals in some lesser-known plants and their effects and potential applications in livestock and aquaculture production systems. *J Agric.* 34(7-9), 101-107.
17. Martinez CB and Machado-Neo JG (2007) Acute morphological and physiological effects of lead in the Neotropical fish *Pochilodus lineatus*. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. C. Toxicol. Pharmacol.* 145(2), 36-44.
18. Mordue (Luntz) AJ and Nisbet AJ (2000) Azadirachtin from the neem tree *Azadirachta indica*: its actions against insects. *Ann. Entomol. Soc. Brasil.* 29, 615-632.
19. Narqvi SNH, Ahmed SO and Mohammed FA (2006) Toxicity and IGR effects of two neem products against *Aedes aegypti* (PCSIR Strain). *Pak. J. Pharm. Sci.* 4 (1), 1-7.
20. Prance G (2003) The harmonious co-existence between plants and people. *Compo. Biochem. Physiol. C. Toxicol. Pharmacol.* 145(1), 20-54.
21. Qadri SH and Narsaiah J (2005) Effect of azadirachtin in molting process of *Periplaneta americana*. *Indian J. Exp. Biol.* 16(11),1141-1143.
22. Okumu FO, Knols BGJ and Fillinger U (2007) Larvicidal effects of a neem (*Azadirachta indica*) oil formulation on the malaria vector *Anopheles gambiae*. *Malaria J.* 6, 63-71.
23. Olomukoro JO and Azubuike CN (2009) Heavy metals and macroinvertebrate communities in bottom sediment of Ekpan Creek, Warri, Nigeria. *Jordan J. Biol. Sci.* 2(1),1-8.



24. Saleh AS and El-Wakeil EN (2007) Effect of neem and Diatomaceous earth against *Myzus persicae* and associated predators. *Crop Protection*. 9(4), 83-96.
25. Su T and Mulla MS (1998) Antifeedancy of neem products containing Azadirachtin against *Culex tarsalis* and *Culex quinquefasciatus* (Diptera: Culicidae). *J. Vector Ecol.* 23,114-122.
26. Tarek MY, Mostafa IH, Walaa AM, Mounear SA and Ahmed ZS (2011) Evaluation of biological activity of some *Cupressus semprevirens* L. (Diptera: Culicidae). *Egypt. Acad. J. Biol. Sci.* 4(1), 33 - 48.
27. Tonk S, Bartarya R, Maharaj KK, Bhatnagar VP and Srivastava SS (2006) Effective method for extraction of larvicidal component from leaves of *Azadirachta indica* and *Artemisia annua* Linn. *J. Enviro.Biol.* 27(1),103-105.
28. Verkerk RHJ, Wright DJ (2003) Biological activity of neem seed kernel extracts and synthetic azadirachtin against larvae of *Plutella xylostella* L. *Pesticides Sci.* 37, 83-91.
29. Wandscheer CB, Duque JE, da Silva MAN, Fukuyama Y, Wohlke JL, Adelman J and Fontan JD (2004) Larvicidal action of ethanolic extracts from fruit endocarps of *Melia azedarach* and *Azadirachta indica* against the dengue mosquito *Aedes aegypti*. *Toxicon.* 44(8), 829-835.
30. Winkaler EU and Santos TR (2007) Acute lethal and sublethal effects of neem leaf extract on the neotropical freshwater fish *Prochilodus lineatus*. *Compo. Biochem. Phsiol. C. Toxicol. Pharmacol.* 145(2), 36-44.